

References

- [1] Abbott, B. 2005. Issues in the Semantics and Pragmatics of Definite Descriptions in English, to appear in *Interdisciplinary Perspectives on Reference Processing*, J. Gundel & N. Hedberg (eds.).
- [2] Abusch, D. 1997. Sequence of Tense and Temporal *De Re*, in *Linguistics and Philosophy* 20, 1-50.
- [3] Abusch, D. 2004. On the Temporal Composition of Infinitives, in *The Syntax of Time*, J. Gueron & J. Lecarme (eds.), MIT Press.
- [4] Asher, N. & A. Lascarides 2003. *Logics of Conversation*, Cambridge University Press.
- [5] Barwise, J. & R. Cooper 1981. Generalized Quantifiers in Natural Languages, in *Linguistics and Philosophy* 4, 159-219.
- [6] Barwise, J. 1987. Noun Phrases, Generalized Quantifiers and Anaphora, in *Generalized Quantifiers: Linguistic and Logical Approaches*, P. Gärdenfors (ed.), Dordrecht: Kluwer, 1-29.
- [7] Bäuerle, R. & U. Egli 1985. *Anapher, Nominalphrase und Eselssätze*, Papier 105 des Sonderforschungsbereichs 99, Universität Konstanz.
- [8] Beaver, D. 1993. *What Comes First in Dynamic Semantics*, Technical Report ILLC Report LP-93-15, Amsterdam.
- [9] Beaver, D. 2001. *Presupposition and Assertion in Dynamic Semantics*, CSLI Publications.
- [10] Beaver, D. & H. Zeevat 2006. Accommodation, to appear in G. Ramchand & C. Reiss (eds.), *Oxford Handbook of Linguistic Interfaces*, Oxford University Press.
- [11] Bittner, M. 2001. Topical Referents for Individuals and Possibilities, in the *Proceedings of SALT XI*, Hastings. R et al (eds.), CLC, Cornell University, Ithaca 36-55.
- [12] Bittner, M. 2003. Word order and incremental update, in *Proceedings from CLS 39*, Chicago Linguistic Society, Chicago.
- [13] Bittner, M. 2006. Online update: Temporal, Modal and *De Se* Anaphora in Polysynthetic Discourse, in *Direct Compositionality*, C. Barker & P. Jacobson (eds.), Oxford University Press (in press).
- [14] Brasoveanu, A. 2006a. Temporal and Propositional *De Se*: Evidence from Romanian Subjunctive Mood, in the *Proceedings of Sinn und Bedeutung 10*, published as ZASPIL (ZAS Papers in Linguistics), Volume 44, C. Ebert & C. Endriss (eds.), 2006, available at <http://www.zas.gwz-berlin.de/papers/zaspil/articles/zp44/Sub10-Proceedings-Complete.pdf>.
- [15] Brasoveanu, A. 2006b. Structured Discourse Reference to Propositions: Entailment Particles and Modal Subordination in Dynamic Type Logic, in the *Proceedings of Ninth Symposium on Logic and Language*, B. Gyuris, L. Kalman,

- C. Pinon & K. Varasdi (eds.), Besenyőtelek, Hungary, August 24–26, 2006, available at <http://www.nytud.hu/lola9/proceedings.html>.
- [16] Brasoveanu, A. 2006c (to appear). *Donkey Pluralities: Non-Atomic Individuals vs. Plural Information States*, to appear in the *Proceedings of Sinn und Bedeutung 11* (Barcelona, September 2006).
 - [17] Büring, D. 2004. Crossover Situations, in *Natural Language Semantics* 12.1, 23–62.
 - [18] Carletta, J, A. Isard, S. Isard, J.C. Kowtko, G. Doherty-Sneddon & A.H. Anderson 1998. The Reliability of A Dialogue Structure Coding Scheme, available at <http://homepages.inf.ed.ac.uk/jeanc/maptask-coding-html/rerevised.html>.
 - [19] Carpenter, R. 1998. *Type-Logical Semantics*, Cambridge, MIT Press.
 - [20] Chierchia, G. 1989. Anaphora and Attitudes *De Se*, in *Semantics and Contextual Expression*, R. Bartsch et al. (eds.).
 - [21] Chierchia, G. 1992. Anaphora and Dynamic Binding, in *Linguistics and Philosophy* 15, 111–183.
 - [22] Chierchia, G. 1995. *The Dynamics of Meaning: Anaphora, Presupposition and the Theory of Grammar*, University of Chicago Press.
 - [23] Chierchia, G. 2001. A puzzle about indefinites, in *Semantic Interfaces: Reference, Anaphora and Aspect*, C. Cecchetto et al (eds.), Stanford: CSLI, 51–89.
 - [24] Chomsky, N. 1981. *Lectures on Government and Binding*, Dordrecht: Foris.
 - [25] Condoravdi, C. 2001. Temporal Interpretation of Modals. Modals for the Present and for the Past, in *Stanford Papers in Semantics*, D. Beaver et al (eds.), CSLI Publications, 1–30.
 - [26] Cooper, R. 1979. The Interpretation of Pronouns, in *The Nature of Syntactic Representations, Syntax and Semantics, Volume 10 (Selections from the Third Gröningen Round Table)*, F. Henry & H. Schnelle (eds.), New York: Academic Press, 61–92.
 - [27] Cooper, R. 1983. *Quantification and Syntactic Theory*, Dordrecht: Reidel.
 - [28] Cresswell, M.J. 1973. *Logics and Languages*, London: Methuen, 1973.
 - [29] Cresswell, M.J. & A. von Stechow 1982. *De Re Belief Generalized*, in *Linguistics and Philosophy* 5, 503–535.
 - [30] Cumming, S. 2006. *Proper Nouns*, Rutgers University (New Brunswick), ms.
 - [31] Dekker, P. 1993. *Transsentential Meditations: Ups and Downs in Dynamic Semantics*, PhD dissertation, University of Amsterdam.
 - [32] Dekker, P. 1994. Predicate logic with Anaphora, in the *Proceedings of SALT 4*, L. Santelmann & M. Harvey (eds.), 79–95.
 - [33] Dobrovie-Sorin, C. 1994. *The Syntax of Romanian: Comparative Studies in Romance*, Mouton De Gruyter.

- [34] Elbourne, P. 2005. *Situations and Individuals*, MIT Press.
- [35] Elworthy, D. 1995. A theory of anaphoric information, in *Linguistics and Philosophy* 18:3, 297-332.
- [36] Evans, G. 1977. Pronouns, Quantifiers and Relative Clauses (I), in *The Journal of Canadian Philosophy* 7, 467-536.
- [37] Evans, G. 1980. Pronouns, in *Linguistic Inquiry* 11, 337-362.
- [38] Evans, G. 1985. *Collected Papers*, Oxford, Oxford University Press.
- [39] Fabricius-Hansen, C. & K.J. Sæbø 2004. In a meditative mood: The Semantics of the German Reportive Subjunctive, in *Natural Language Semantics* 12, 213-257.
- [40] Farkas, D. 1985. *Intensional Descriptions and the Romance Subjunctive Mood*, Garland Publishers, New York.
- [41] Farkas, D. 1992. On The Semantics of Subjunctive Complements, in *Romance Languages and Modern Linguistic Theory*, P. Hirschbühler & E.F.K. Koerner (eds.), John Benjamins, 69-104.
- [42] Farkas, D. 2002. Specificity Distinctions, in *Journal of Semantics* 19, 1-31.
- [43] von Fintel, K. 1994. *Restrictions on Quantifier Domains*, PhD dissertation, UMass Amherst.
- [44] Fodor, J. D. 1970. *The Linguistic Description Semantics of Opaque Contexts*, PhD dissertation, MIT.
- [45] Fodor, J. D. & I. Sag 1982. Referential and Quantificational Indefinites, in *Linguistics and Philosophy* 5, 355-398.
- [46] Frank, A. 1996. *Context Dependence in Modal Constructions*, PhD dissertation, University of Stuttgart.
- [47] Frank, A. & H. Kamp 1997. On Context Dependence in Modal Constructions, in *Proceedings of SALT VII*, March 21-23 1997, Stanford University.
- [48] Gallin, D. 1975. *Intensional and Higher-Order Modal Logic with applications to Montague semantics*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies.
- [49] Gawron, J.M. 1996. Quantification, Quantificational Domains and Dynamic Logic, in the *Handbook of Contemporary Semantic Theory*, S. Lappin (ed.), Oxford: Blackwell, 247-267.
- [50] Geach, P.T. 1962. *Reference and Generality*, Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- [51] Geurts, B. 1998. Presuppositions and Anaphors in Attitude Contexts, in *Linguistics and Philosophy* 21, 545-601.
- [52] Geurts, B. 1999. *Presuppositions and Pronouns*, Amsterdam, Elsevier. Revised version of Geurts, B. 1995, *Presupposing*, PhD dissertation, University of Stuttgart.
- [53] Geurts, B. 2002. Donkey Business, in *Linguistics and Philosophy* 25, 129-156.

- [54] Green, G. 1989. *Pragmatics and Natural Language Understanding*, Hillsdale: L. Erlbaum Associates.
- [55] Grice, H.P. 1975. Logic and conversation, in *Syntax and Semantics, Volume 3: Speech Acts*, P. Cole & J. Morgan (eds.), New York: Academic Press, 43–58.
- [56] Groenendijk, J. & M. Stokhof 1990. Dynamic Montague Grammar, in the *Proceedings of the Second Symposium on Logic and Language*, L. Kalman & L. Polos (eds.), Budapest, Eotvos Lorand University Press, 3-48.
- [57] Groenendijk, J. & M. Stokhof 1991. Dynamic Predicate Logic, in *Linguistics and Philosophy* 14, 39-100.
- [58] Groenendijk, J., M. Stokhof & F. Veltman 1996. Coreference and Modality, in *Handbook of Contemporary Semantic Theory*, S. Lappin (ed.), Oxford: Blackwell, 179-216.
- [59] Groenendijk, J. & M. Stokhof 1997. Questions, in *Handbook of Logic and Language*, J. van Benthem & A. ter Meulen (eds.), Elsevier/MIT Press, Amsterdam/Cambridge, Mass., 1055-1124.
- [60] Grosz, B., A. Joshi & S. Weinstein 1995. Centering: A framework for modelling the local coherence of discourse, in *Computational Linguistics* 21:2, 203-225.
- [61] Hardt, D. 1999. Dynamic Interpretation of Verb Phrase Ellipsis, in *Linguistics & Philosophy* 22, 185-219.
- [62] Heim, I. 1982. *The Semantics of Definite and Indefinite Noun Phrases*, PhD dissertation, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, published in 1988 by Garland, New York.
- [63] Heim, I. 1983a. File Change Semantics and the Familiarity Theory of Definiteness, in *Meaning, Use and Interpretation of Language*, R. Bäuerle, C. Schwartze & A. von Stechow (eds.), De Gruyter, Berlin, 164-189.
- [64] Heim, I. 1983b. On the Projection Problem for Presuppositions, in *WCCFL 2*, Barlow, M., D. Flickinger & M. Wescoat (eds.), 114-125.
- [65] Heim, I. 1990. E-Type Pronouns and Donkey Anaphora, in *Linguistics and Philosophy* 19, 137-177.
- [66] Heim, I. & A. Kratzer 1998. *Semantics in Generative Grammar*, Oxford: Blackwell.
- [67] Heim, I., H. Lasnik & R. May 1991. Reciprocity and Plurality, *Linguistic Inquiry* 22, 63-101.
- [68] Heim, I. 1992. Presupposition projection and the semantics of attitude verbs, in *Journal of Semantics* 9, 183-221.
- [69] Heim, I. 2005. Features on Bound Pronouns, MIT ms.
- [70] Hintikka, J. 1962. *Knowledge and Belief. An Introduction to the Logic of the two Notions*, Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.

- [71] Hintikka, J. 1969. Semantics for Propositional Attitudes, in *Philosophical Logic*, J.W. Davis et al (eds.), 21-45, reprinted in *Reference and Modality*, L. Linsky (ed.), Oxford University Press, 1971, 145-167.
- [72] Hobbs, J. 1979. Coherence and Coreference, in *Cognitive Science* 3.1, 67-90.
- [73] Hobbs, J. 1990. *Literature and Cognition*, CSLI Lecture Notes 21, CSLI.
- [74] Hobbs, J., M. Stickel, D. Appelt & P. Martin 1993. Interpretation as Abduction, in *Artificial Intelligence* 63:1-2, 69-142.
- [75] Horn, L. 2006. Implicature, in *The Handbook of Pragmatics*, L. Horn & G. Ward (eds.), Blackwell Publishers.
- [76] Hornstein, N. 1984. *Logic as Grammar*, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- [77] Ippolito, M. 2003. Presuppositions and Implicatures in Counterfactuals, in *Natural Language Semantics* 11, 145-186.
- [78] Isaacs, J. 2005. *Speech acts under if-clauses*, handout *GK Semantisches Kolloquium*, University of Frankfurt, November 17, 2005.
- [79] Janssen, T. 1986. *Foundations and Applications of Montague Grammar*, CWI Tract 19, CWI, Amsterdam.
- [80] Kadmon, N. 1987. *On Unique and Non-unique Reference and Asymmetric Quantification*, PhD dissertation, UMass Amherst.
- [81] Kadmon, N. 1990. Uniqueness, in *Linguistics and Philosophy* 13, 273-324.
- [82] Kameyama, M. 1994. Indefeasible Semantics and Defeasible Pragmatics, Technical Report 544, SRI International.
- [83] Kamp, H. 1981. A theory of truth and semantic representation, in *Formal Methods in the Study of Language*, Part 1, Groenendijk, J., T. Janssen & M. Stokhof (eds.), Mathematical Center, Amsterdam, 277-322.
- [84] Kamp, H. 2001. Presupposition computation and presupposition justification: One aspect of the Interpretation of Multi-sentence Discourse, in *Semantics and Pragmatics of Discourse and Dialogue: Experimenting with Current Theories*, M. Bras & L. Vieu (eds.), Elsevier, 57-84.
- [85] Kamp, H. & U. Reyle 1993. *From Discourse to Logic. Introduction to Model-theoretic Semantics of Natural Language, Formal Logic and Discourse Representation Theory*, Kluwer, Dordrecht.
- [86] Kamp, H. & U. Reyle 1996. A Calculus for First Order Discourse Representation Structures, in *Journal of Logic, Language and Information* 3, 297-348.
- [87] Kanazawa, M. 1994a. Weak vs. Strong Readings of Donkey Sentences and Monotonicity Inference in a Dynamic Setting, in *Linguistics & Philosophy* 17, 109-158.
- [88] Kanazawa, M. 1994b. Dynamic Generalized Quantifiers and Monotonicity, in *Dynamics, Polarity and Quantification*, M. Kanazawa & C. Piñón (eds.), CSLI Lecture Notes 48, Stanford: CSLI, 213-249.

- [89] Kanazawa, M. 2001. Singular Donkey Pronouns are Semantically Singular, in *Linguistics and Philosophy* 24, 383-403.
- [90] Kang, Y. E. Yoon 1994. *Weak and Strong Interpretations of Quantifiers and Definite NPs in English and Korean*, Ph.D. dissertation, Department of Linguistics, University of Texas at Austin.
- [91] Kaplan, D. 1977/1989a. Demonstratives, in *Themes from Kaplan*, Almog, Perry & Wettstein (eds.), Oxford: Oxford University Press, 481-563.
- [92] Kaplan, D. 1989b. Afterthoughts, in *Themes from Kaplan*, Almog, Perry & Wettstein (eds.), Oxford: Oxford University Press, 565-614.
- [93] Karttunen, L. 1974. Presupposition and Linguistic Context, in *Theoretical Linguistics* 1, 181-194.
- [94] Karttunen, L. 1976. Discourse Referents, in *Syntax and Semantics, Volume 7: Notes from the Linguistic Underground*, J.D. McCawley (ed.), New York: Academic Press, 363-385.
- [95] Kaufmann, S. 2000. Dynamic Context Management, in *Formalizing the Dynamics of Information*, M. Faller, S. Kaufmann & M. Pauly (eds.), CSLI, 165-182.
- [96] Kehler, A. 1995. *Interpreting Cohesive Forms in the Context of Discourse Inference*, PhD dissertation, Harvard University.
- [97] Kehler, A. 2002. *Coherence, Reference and the Theory of Grammar*, CSLI Publications.
- [98] Kibble, R. 1994. Dynamics of Epistemic Modality and Anaphora, in *International Workshop on Computational Semantics*, H. Bunt, R. Muskens & G. Rentier (eds.), ITK, Tilburg, 121-130.
- [99] Kibble, R. 1995. Modal subordination, Focus and Complement Anaphora, in the *Proceedings of the Tbilisi Symposium on Language, Logic and Computation*.
- [100] Krahmer, E. 1998. *Presupposition and Anaphora*, CSLI Publications/Cambridge University Press, CSLI Lecture Notes Series 89, Stanford, CA.
- [101] Kratzer, A. 1981. The Notional Category of Modality, in *Words, Worlds, and Contexts. New Approaches in Word Semantics*, Eikmeyer, H.J. & H. Rieser (eds.), Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 38-74.
- [102] Kratzer, A. 1989. An Investigation of the Lumps of Thought, in *Linguistics & Philosophy* 12, 607-653.
- [103] Kratzer, A. 1991a. Modality, in *Semantik. Ein internationales Handbuch der zeitgenössischen Forschung*, von Stechow, A. & D. Wunderlich (eds.), Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 639-650.
- [104] Kratzer, A. 1991b. Conditionals, in *Semantik. Ein internationales Handbuch der zeitgenössischen Forschung*, von Stechow, A. & D. Wunderlich (eds.), Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 651-656.

- [105] Krifka, M. 1996a. Pragmatic Strengthening in Plural Predications and Donkey Sentences, in *Proceedings from SALT VI*, T. Galloway & J. Spence (eds.), Cornell University, Ithaca, NY.
- [106] Krifka, M. 1996b. Parametric Sum Individuals for Plural Anaphora, in *Linguistics and Philosophy* 19, 555-598.
- [107] Kripke, S. 1972. Naming and Necessity, in *Semantics of Natural Language*, D. Davidson & G. Harman (eds.), Dordrecht: Reidel, 253-355.
- [108] Kripke, S. 1979. A Puzzle About Belief, in *Meaning and Use*, Margalit, A. (ed.), Boston: D. Reidel, 239-283.
- [109] Landman, M. 2006. *Variables in Natural Language*, PhD dissertation, University of Massachusetts, Amherst: GLSA.
- [110] Lappin, S. & N. Francez 1994. E-type Pronouns, I-sums and Donkey Anaphora, in *Linguistics and Philosophy* 17, 391-428.
- [111] Lewis, D. 1968. Counterpart Theory and Quantified Modal Logic, in *The Journal of Philosophy* 65:5, 113-126.
- [112] Lewis, D. 1972. General Semantics, in *Semantics of Natural Language*, D. Davidson & G. Harman (eds.), Dordrecht: Reidel, 169-218.
- [113] Lewis, D. 1973. *Counterfactuals*, Harvard University Press.
- [114] Lewis, D. 1975. Adverbs of Quantification, in *Formal Semantics of Natural Language*, E. Keenan (ed.), Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 3-15.
- [115] Lewis, D. 1979a. Attitudes *De Dicto* and *De Se*, in *The Philosophical Review* 88:4, 513-543.
- [116] Lewis, D. 1979b. Scorekeeping in a Language Game, in *Journal of Philosophical Logic* 8, 339-359.
- [117] Lewis, D. 1981. Ordering Semantics and Premise Semantics for Counterfactuals, in *Journal of Philosophical Logic* 10, 217-234.
- [118] Link, G. 1983. The Logical Analysis of Plurals and Mass Terms: A Lattice-Theoretical Approach, in *Meaning, Use and Interpretation of Language*, R. Bauerle, C. Schwarze & A. von Stechow (eds.), Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 302-323.
- [119] May, R. 1977. *The Grammar of Quantification*, PhD dissertation, MIT.
- [120] Montague, R. 1974. The Proper Treatment of Quantification in Ordinary English, in *Formal Philosophy. Selected Papers of Richard Montague*, R. Thomason (ed.), New Haven: Yale University Press, 247-270.
- [121] Muskens, R. 1991. Anaphora and the Logic of Change, in *Logics in AI*, J. van Eijck (ed.), Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence 478, Berlin: Springer, 1991, 412-428, available at <http://semanticsarchive.net/Archive/jM4OTc5M/anaphora.pdf>.
- [122] Muskens, R. 1995a. *Meaning and Partiality*, Stanford: CSLI.

- [123] Muskens, R. 1995b. Tense and the Logic of Change, in *Lexical Knowledge in the Organization of Language*, U. Egli et al (eds.), Amsterdam: Benjamins, 147–183.
- [124] Muskens, R. 1996. Combining Montague Semantics and Discourse Representation, *Linguistics and Philosophy* 19, 143-186.
- [125] Muskens, R. 2005. Natural Language Semantics, ESSLLI 17th course notes, Edinburgh, available at <http://let.uvt.nl/general/people/rmuskens/courses.htm>.
- [126] Neale, S. 1990. *Descriptions*, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- [127] Nouwen, R. 2003. *Plural pronominal anaphora in context*, PhD dissertation, UiL-OTS, Utrecht University, LOT Dissertation Series 84, available at <http://www.lotpublications.nl/publish/articles/000570/bookpart.pdf>.
- [128] Parsons, T. 1978. Pronouns as Paraphrases, UMass Amherst, ms.
- [129] Partee, B. 1973. Some Structural Analogies between Tenses and Pronouns in English, in *Journal of Philosophy* 70, 601-609.
- [130] Partee, B. 1984. Nominal and Temporal Anaphora, in *Linguistics and Philosophy* 7, 243-286.
- [131] Partee, B. 1989. Binding Implicit Variables in Quantified Contexts, in the *Proceedings of CLS* 25, 342-365.
- [132] Partee, B. & M. Rooth 1983. *Generalized Conjunction and Type Ambiguity*, in *Meaning, Use and Interpretation of Language*, R. Bauerle, C. Schwartze & A. von Stechow (eds.), Walter de Gruyter, 361-383.
- [133] Pelletier, F.J. & L.K. Schubert 1989. Generically Speaking or Using Discourse Representation Theory to Interpret Generics, in *Properties, Types, and Meanings, Volume 2*, G. Chierchia, B.H. Partee & R. Turner (eds.), Dordrecht: Kluwer, 193-268.
- [134] Poesio, M. & A. Zucchi 1992. On Telescoping, in *Proceedings of SALT II*, C. Barker & D. Dowty (eds.).
- [135] Portner, P. 1994. Modal Discourse Referents and the Semantics/Pragmatics Boundary, ms.
- [136] Portner, P. & B. Partee (eds.) 2002. *Formal Semantics: The Essential Readings*, Oxford, Blackwell Publishers.
- [137] Potts, C. 2003 (forthcoming). Conventional Implicatures, A Distinguished Class of Meanings, to appear in *The Oxford Handbook of Linguistic Interfaces*, Ramchand, G. & C. Reiss (eds.), Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- [138] Reinhart, T. 1987. Specifier and Operator Binding, in *The Representation of (In)definiteness*, E. Reuland & A. ter Meulen (eds.), Cambridge: MIT Press.
- [139] Roberts, C. 1987. *Modal Subordination, Anaphora and Distributivity*, PhD dissertation, UMass Amherst, published in 1990 by Garland, New York.
- [140] Roberts, C. 1989. Modal Subordination and Pronominal Anaphora in Discourse, in *Linguistics and Philosophy* 12, 683–721.

- [141] Roberts, C. 1995. Domain Restriction in Dynamic Semantics, in *Quantification in Natural Languages*, E. Bach, E. Jelinek, A. Kratzer & B. Partee (eds.), Dordrecht: Kluwer, 661-700.
- [142] Roberts, C. 1996. Anaphora in Intensional Contexts, in the *Handbook of Contemporary Semantic Theory*, S. Lappin, (ed.), Oxford: Blackwell, 215-246.
- [143] Roberts, C. 2003. Uniqueness in Definite Noun Phrases, in *Linguistics & Philosophy* 26, 287-350.
- [144] Root, R. 1986. *The Semantics of Anaphora in Discourse*, PhD dissertation, University of Texas at Austin.
- [145] Rooth, M. 1987. Noun Phrase Interpretation in Montague Grammar, File Change Semantics and Situation Semantics, in *Generalized Quantifiers: Linguistic and Logical Approaches*, P. Gärdenfors (ed.), Dordrecht: Kluwer, 237-268.
- [146] Russell, B. 1905. On Denoting, in *Mind* 14, 479-493, available at <http://humanum.arts.cuhk.edu.hk/humftp/E-text/Russell/denoting.htm>.
- [147] Sauerland, U. 2001. Donkey Anaphora and Choice Functions, abstract for the ESSLLI 2001 workshop *Choice Functions and Natural Language Semantics*, available at <http://ling.uni-konstanz.de/pages/home/heusinger/konf-proj/01ESSLLI/Abstracts/Sauerland.pdf%20>.
- [148] Scha, R. 1981. Distributive, Collective and Cumulative Quantification, in *Formal Methods in the Study of Language. Part 2*, J. Groenendijk, T. Janssen & M. Stokhof (eds.), Mathematical Centre Tracts 136, University of Amsterdam, 483–512 (reprinted in *Truth, Interpretation and Information*, J. Groenendijk, M. Stokhof & T. Janssen (eds.), 1984, Foris, Dordrecht, 131–58).
- [149] Schlenker, P. 2003. A plea for Monsters, in *Linguistics and Philosophy* 26, 29-120.
- [150] Schlenker, P. 2005a. *The Lazy (French)man's Approach to the Subjunctive*, to appear in *Proceedings of Going Romance XVII*.
- [151] Schlenker, P. 2005b. Ontological Symmetry in Language: A Brief Manifesto, to appear in *Mind & Language*.
- [152] Schwarzschild, R. 1992. Types of Plural Individuals, in *Linguistics and Philosophy* 15, 641-675.
- [153] Schwarzschild, R. 1994. Plurals, Presuppositions and the Sources of Distributivity, in *Natural Language Semantics* 2, 201-248.
- [154] Schwarzschild, R. 1996. *Pluralities*, Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- [155] Schwarzschild, R. 2002. Singleton Indefinites, in *Journal of Semantics* 19.3, 289-314.
- [156] Searle, J. 1983. *Intentionality. An Essay in the Philosophy of Mind*, Cambridge, Eng.: Cambridge.
- [157] Sells, P. 1984. *Syntax and Semantics of Resumptive Pronouns*, PhD dissertation, UMass Amherst.

- [158] Sells, P. 1985. Restrictive and Non-Restrictive Moditication, Report No. CSLI-85-28, CSLI, Stanford University.
- [159] Stalnaker, R. 1968. A theory of conditionals, in Rescher, N. (ed.), *Studies in Logical Theory* (#2 in American Philosophical Quarterly Monograph Series), Oxford, Blackwell, 98-112.
- [160] Stalnaker, R. 1975. Indicative Conditionals, in *Philosophia* 5, 269-286.
- [161] Stalnaker, R. 1978. Assertion, in *Syntax and Semantics* 9, 315-332.
- [162] Stone, M. 1992. *Or* and Anaphora, in the *Proceedings of SALT 2*, C. Barker & D. Dowty (eds.), 367-385.
- [163] Stone, M. 1997. The Anaphoric Parallel between Modality and Tense, IRCS TR 97-06, University of Pennsylvania.
- [164] Stone, M. 1999. Reference to Possible Worlds, RuCCS Report 49, Rutgers University, New Brunswick.
- [165] Stone, M. & D. Hardt 1999. Dynamic Discourse Referents for Tense and Modals, in *Proceedings of the 2nd International Workshop on Computational Linguistics (IWCS 2)*, 287-299.
- [166] Van Benthem, J. 1986. *Essays in Logical Semantics* (Studies in Linguistics and Philosophy 29), Dordrecht: Reidel.
- [167] Van den Berg, M. 1994. A Direct Definition of Generalized Dynamic Quantifiers, in the *Proceedings of the 9th Amsterdam Colloquium*.
- [168] Van den Berg, M. 1996a. *Some aspects of the Internal Structure of Discourse. The Dynamics of Nominal Anaphora*, PhD dissertation, University of Amsterdam.
- [169] Van den Berg, M. 1996b. Dynamic Generalized Quantifiers, in *Quantifiers, Logic and Language*, J. van der Does & J. van Eijck, CSLI, Lecture Notes 54, Stanford: CSLI.
- [170] Van der Does, J. 1993. The Dynamics of Sophisticated Laziness, ILLC, University of Amsterdam, ms.
- [171] Van der Sandt, R. 1992. Presupposition Projection as Anaphora Resolution, in *Journal of Semantics* 9, 333-377.
- [172] Van Eijck, J. & F.-J. de Vries 1992. Dynamic interpretation and Hoare Deduction, in *Journal of Logic, Language and Information* 1, 1-44.
- [173] Van Eijck, J. 2001. Incremental dynamics, in *Journal of Logic, Language and Information* 10:3, 319-351.
- [174] Van Rooij, R. 2005. A Modal Analysis of Presupposition and Modal Subordination, in *Journal of Semantics* 22.3, 281-305.
- [175] Van Rooy, R. 2001. Anaphoric Relations Across Attitude Contexts, in *Reference and Anaphoric Relations*, K. von Heusinger & U. Egli (eds.), Dordrecht: Kluwer, 157-181.

- [176] Vermeulen, C.F.M. 1993. Sequence Semantics for Dynamic Predicate Logic, in *Journal of Logic, Language and Information* 2, 217-254.
- [177] Wang, L. & E. McCready 2005. *Maximality and Plurality*, paper presented at *Logic and Engineering of Natural Language Semantics 2005* (LENLS 2005), Kitakyushu, Japan.
- [178] Wang, L., E. McCready & N. Asher 2006. Information Dependency in Quantificational Subordination, in *Where Semantics Meets Pragmatics*, K. Turner & K. von Heusinger (eds.), Elsevier (forthcoming).
- [179] Winter, Y. 2000. Distributivity and Dependency, in *Natural Language Semantics* 8, 27-69.
- [180] Zimmermann, T.E. 1993. On the Proper Treatment of Opacity in Certain Verbs, in *Natural Language Semantics* 1, 149-179.
- [181] Zwarts, F. 1996. Negation and Generalized Quantifiers, in *Generalized Quantifiers: Theory and Applications*, J. van der Does & J. van Eijck (eds.), 443-462.